

ECON 747 – LECTURE 2:  
BUSINESS CYCLE MODEL REFRESHER

Thomas Drechsel

University of Maryland

Spring 2024

## THEMES COVERED IN THIS PART OF THE COURSE

- ▶ DSGE models as a core framework for this course
- ▶ What is a *solution* to a DSGE model?
- ▶ How to get to a solution?
- ▶ Using Dynare

# DSGE MODELS

- ▶ DSGE:
  - ▶ **D**ynamic
  - ▶ **S**tochastic
  - ▶ **G**eneral **E**quilibrium
  
- ▶ Why are DSGE models complex?
  - ▶ Typically feature rational expectations
    - ⇒ agents are forward-looking
    - ⇒ decisions depend on expectations of behavior in all future states of the world
  
- ▶ DSGEs are the framework within which we characterize financial frictions

## COMPONENTS OF A DSGE

- ▶ Preferences
- ▶ Technology
- ▶ Market structure

## COMPONENTS OF A DSGE

- ▶ Preferences
- ▶ Technology
- ▶ Market structure
  - ▶ Understanding the market structure will be key for what we study in this course
  - ▶ We will start with **complete asset markets**
  - ▶ We will see that one ingredient we need for financial variable to matter is **asset market incompleteness**
  - ▶ The other one is **heterogeneity** between agents, e.g. one agent wants to save and another one wants to borrow

## A TINY BIT OF HISTORY

- ▶ The first DSGE models were real business cycle (RBC) models, and they originate in the [Lucas \(1976\)](#) critique
- ▶ They were a response to the (old) Keynesian tradition, in which structural relationships were assumed in an ad-hoc way to do econometric policy evaluation
- ▶ First RBC models developed by [Kydland and Prescott \(1982\)](#) & [Long and Plosser \(1983\)](#)
- ▶ Keynesian elements – such as imperfect competition and nominal rigidities – were blended into the RBC framework through “New Neoclassical Synthesis” (see e.g. [Goodfriend and King, 1997](#))
- ▶ Modern New-Keynesian DSGEs feature many shocks and frictions and are used as quantitative tools, e.g. [Smets and Wouters \(2007\)](#)
- ▶ Nice historical retrospective is offered by [Kehoe, Midrigan, and Pastorino \(2018\)](#)

## NEOCLASSICAL CORE AND FRICTIONS

- ▶ DSGE models feature a neoclassical (RBC) core
- ▶ This core is usually composed of optimizing agents with rational expectations
- ▶ (Financial) frictions are added around this core
- ▶ Sometimes these frictions are very specific, derived from microfounded behavior, while sometimes they are more ad-hoc (reduced form)

## FRICTIONS AND WEDGES

- ▶ One way to “detect” frictions is by adding “wedges” to the core neoclassical model
- ▶ Taking a model with “wedges” to the data, and then studying their properties can guide us on where frictions distort behavior
- ▶ See in particular [Chari, Kehoe, and McGrattan \(2007\)](#)
- ▶ Example: we know that the “labor wedge” (the deviation of MPL from MRS) is usually an important wedge
- ▶ Therefore financial frictions that affect the “labor wedge” are likely to play an important role
  - ▶ Explained well by [Quadrini \(2011\)](#)



Let's look at an example of a simple DSGE model ...

## A SIMPLE BUSINESS CYCLE MODEL

Consider an RBC model with shocks to TFP ( $Z_t$ ) and IST ( $V_t$ )

$$\max \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \frac{C_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}$$

subject to

$$K_{t+1} = (1 - \delta)K_t + V_t I_t$$

$$Y_t = Z_t K_t^\alpha$$

$$Y_t = C_t + I_t$$

$$K_0 \text{ given}$$

with  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ ,  $0 < \delta \leq 1$ ,  $\sigma \geq 0$

and stochastic processes for  $Z_t$  and  $V_t$ .

## REMARKS

- ▶ The two exogenous variables are both technological
  - ▶ Total factor productivity (TFP): *how much output can be produced for given inputs*
  - ▶ Investment-specific technology (IST): *how much capital can be created from given level of investment*
- ▶ There could also be shocks to preferences (e.g. to discount factor  $\beta$ ), or other technological shocks (e.g. to the depreciation rate)
- ▶ Note: this model can be thought of as a model with inelastic labor supply in which

$$Y_t = \tilde{Z}_t K_t^\alpha \bar{N}^{1-\alpha} \quad (1)$$

## RECURSIVE PROBLEMS

- ▶ We typically write down models that admit a **recursive** formulation
- ▶ What is a recursive problem?

## RECURSIVE PROBLEMS

- ▶ We typically write down models that admit a **recursive** formulation
- ▶ What is a recursive problem?
  - ▶ **Same realization of the state variables**  
⇒ **same choice of the control variable**
- ▶ Many problems are not recursive

## WHAT ARE STATE VARIABLES?

- ▶ State variables are variable that are

## WHAT ARE STATE VARIABLES?

- ▶ State variables are variable that are
  - ▶ **Predetermined at the beginning of the period**
  - ▶ **Realizations of exogenous variables**
- ▶ It can be tricky figuring out what the state variables are
- ▶ In this model, the state variables are:

## WHAT ARE STATE VARIABLES?

- ▶ State variables are variable that are
  - ▶ **Predetermined at the beginning of the period**
  - ▶ **Realizations of exogenous variables**
- ▶ It can be tricky figuring out what the state variables are
- ▶ In this model, the state variables are:
  - ▶  $K_t, Z_t, V_t$



## THE BELLMAN EQUATION

$$V(K_t, Z_t, V_t) = \max_{C_t, K_{t+1}} \frac{C_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + \beta \mathbb{E}_t V(K_{t+1}, Z_{t+1}, V_{t+1})$$

subject to

$$C_t + \frac{K_{t+1}}{V_t} = Z_t K_t^\alpha + (1 - \delta) \frac{K_t}{V_t}$$

- ▶ Note that I have substituted out  $Y_t$  and  $I_t$  from the problem; if I want to I can also substitute out  $C_t$
- ▶  $V(\cdot)$  is time-invariant because the problem is **recursive!**

## A REMARK ON NOTATION

- ▶ The notational formulation of time subscripts is not unique: what matters is what are choices and what are predetermined variables
- ▶ An equivalent problem would be

$$V(K_{\mathbf{t}-1}, Z_t, V_t) = \max_{C_t, K_{\mathbf{t}}} \frac{C_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + \beta \mathbb{E}_t V(K_{\mathbf{t}}, Z_{t+1}, V_{t+1})$$

subject to

$$C_t + \frac{K_{\mathbf{t}}}{V_t} = Z_t K_{\mathbf{t}-1}^\alpha + (1 - \delta) \frac{K_{\mathbf{t}-1}}{V_t}$$

- ▶ Important when we go to Dynare

## OPTIMALITY CONDITIONS

- ▶ What **characterizes** the solution to a DSGE model?
  - ▶ Optimality conditions
  - ▶ Constraints
  - ▶ Stochastic processes
- ▶ The optimality conditions can be derived using a Lagrangian or using the Bellman equation (and envelope conditions)
- ▶ If you list the optimally conditions, constraints and the stochastic processes you should get  $\#equations = \#variables$
- ▶ If you drop time scripts on this system, this collection of equations characterizes the (nonstochastic) steady state

## OPTIMALITY CONDITIONS

- ▶ If we assume that  $Z_t$  and  $V_t$  follow AR(1) processes, the solution to our model is characterized by

$$\begin{aligned}C_t^{-\sigma} \frac{1}{V_t} &= \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left( C_{t+1}^{-\sigma} \left[ \alpha Z_{t+1} K_{t+1}^{\alpha-1} + (1-\delta) \frac{1}{V_{t+1}} \right] \right) \\C_t + \frac{K_{t+1}}{V_t} &= Z_t K_t^\alpha + (1-\delta) \frac{K_t}{V_t} \\Z_t &= 1 - \rho_z + \rho_z Z_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{z,t} \\V_t &= 1 - \rho_v + \rho_v V_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{v,t}\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ 4 equations, 4 variables

## OPTIMALITY CONDITIONS

- ▶ Substituting out  $C_t$  and  $C_{t+1}$ , gives you a second-order difference equation in  $K_t$
- ▶  $K_0$  given & transversality condition needed
  - ▶  $K_0$  is a primitive of the model
  - ▶ Transversality condition is part of the solution

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta^t \lambda_t K_t = 0$$

where  $\lambda_t$  is the Lagrange multiplier on the budget constraint

- ▶ A transversality condition is conceptually not the same as a no-Ponzi condition (we will come back to this in Lecture 4)

## SOLUTION

- ▶ What **is** the solution to a DSGE model?
  - ▶ It is a set **policy functions**
- ▶ The policy functions map state variables into control variable. For our example they are of the form:

$$\begin{aligned}C_t &= g_c(K_t, Z_t, V_t) \\ K_{t+1} &= g_k(K_t, Z_t, V_t)\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ The  $g(\cdot)$  functions are time-invariant, because it is a recursive problem: remember **same states**  $\Rightarrow$  **same controls**

## SOLUTION

- ▶ There are also policy functions to the variables we have substituted out above:

$$Y_t = g_y(K_t, Z_t, V_t)$$

$$I_t = g_i(K_t, Z_t, V_t)$$

- ▶ These can be easily calculated once we found  $g_c$  and  $g_k$
- ▶ Finding the policy can be a very difficult problem: there is rarely an analytical solution and we therefore use **numerical techniques**.

## A SIMPLE CASE

- ▶ For  $\sigma = 1$  and  $\delta = 1$ , we can derive policy rules analytically, from guessing and verifying  $C_t = (1 - s)Y_t$ ,  $\frac{K_{t+1}}{V_t} = sY_t$
- ▶ This is the Brock and Mirman (1972) model, but I augmented it with IST shocks



## A SIMPLE CASE

- ▶ The solution is:

$$\begin{aligned}K_{t+1} &= \alpha\beta Z_t V_t K_t^\alpha \\C_t &= (1 - \alpha\beta) Z_t K_t^\alpha \\Y_t &= Z_t K_t^\alpha \\I_t &= K_{t+1} = \alpha\beta Z_t V_t K_t^\alpha\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ You will show this with pen and paper in your first assignment

## SOLVING DSGE MODELS

- ▶ Let's go back to the general case for any  $\sigma$  and  $\delta$
- ▶ Finding the policy rule analytically is not possible in this case
- ▶ We need to numerically approximate the policy function
- ▶ For example, find  $\hat{g}_c(K_t, Z_t, V_t) \approx g_c(K_t, Z_t, V_t)$  where

$$\hat{g}_c(K_t, Z_t, V_t) = a_c + a_{ck}K_t + a_{cz}Z_t + a_{cv}V_t$$

- ▶ In this case  $\hat{g}_c(K_t, Z_t, V_t)$  approximates the policy rule with a 1st order polynomial
- ▶ As you will see, Dynare finds  $\hat{g}_c(K_t, Z_t, V_t)$  using a Taylor expansion around the nonstochastic steady state of the model  $(\bar{K}, \bar{Z}, \bar{V})$

## A SIDE NOTE

- ▶ Some of the policy functions may not need to be approximated
- ▶ For example, in an RBC model with only TFP, we would not need to find an approximation  $\hat{g}_y(K_t, Z_t)$ , since we already know that

$$Y_t = Z_t K_t^\alpha$$

is the true nonlinear relation between output and the two state variables

- ▶ If we give the model to Dynare and include  $Y_t$  as a variable, Dynare will (unnecessarily) linearize this equation

## SOLUTION METHODS

- ▶ There are different methods to approximate  $g(K_t, Z_t, V_t)$
- ▶ Some methods focus on approximating the first order conditions (for example perturbation or projection methods), others are based on iterating on the Bellman equation (e.g. value function iteration)
- ▶ There are important tradeoffs when choosing a solution method
  - ▶ Perturbation methods can deal with many state variables, but the problem needs to be “smooth” (for example no discrete choices such as *default vs. don't default*)
  - ▶ Value function iteration can deal with discrete choices, but only a few state variables
- ▶ New solution methods, to old and new problems, are constantly being developed in macroeconomics

## DYNARE

- ▶ You already learned a lot about solution methods in the class with Boragan
- ▶ In my course, we will focus on understanding financial frictions
- ▶ To solve models we will mostly use *Dynare*, which does a lot of the job for us when it comes to finding the solution to the models
  - ▶ I have sometimes 'cooked' the examples to that they work in Dynare
  - ▶ I want you to be conscious of this
- ▶ In Lecture 3, I will explain some of the basics of what Dynare actually does for us in the background
- ▶ In general, be mindful that DSGE models are complex and in your own research things are typically not that easy

## DSGES AS DATA-GENERATING PROCESSES

- ▶ When we think of DSGE model as a system that generates data, this system consists of
  - ▶ Policy rules
  - ▶ Stochastic processes
- ▶ In your first assignment you generate data from the DSGE model above and think about whether this simulated data matches patterns in real-world data

## INSIGHTS TO TAKE HOME

- ▶ What **characterizes** a solution to a DSGE model?
  - ▶ Optimality conditions, constraints and stochastic processes
- ▶ What **is** a solution?
  - ▶ Policy functions
- ▶ How do we **get to** a solution?
  - ▶ Different ways... this is an art!

## PREVIEW

- ▶ In Lecture 3 we will look in detail at Dynare
- ▶ I will give you a “live programming” demonstration



# BIBLIOGRAPHY

- BROCK, W. A. AND L. J. MIRMAN (1972): "Optimal economic growth and uncertainty: The discounted case," *Journal of Economic Theory*, 4, 479 – 513.
- CHARI, V. V., P. J. KEHOE, AND E. R. MCGRATTAN (2007): "Business Cycle Accounting," *Econometrica*, 75, 781–836.
- GOODFRIEND, M. AND R. G. KING (1997): "The new neoclassical synthesis and the role of monetary policy," *NBER macroeconomics annual*, 12, 231–283.
- KEHOE, P. J., V. MIDRIGAN, AND E. PASTORINO (2018): "Evolution of Modern Business Cycle Models: Accounting for the Great Recession," *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 32, 141–66.
- KYDLAND, F. E. AND E. C. PRESCOTT (1982): "Time to Build and Aggregate Fluctuations," *Econometrica*, 50, 1345–1370.
- LONG, J. B. AND C. I. PLOSSER (1983): "Real Business Cycles," *Journal of Political Economy*, 91, 39–69.
- LUCAS, R. E. J. (1976): "Econometric policy evaluation: A critique," in *Carnegie-Rochester conference series on public policy*, North-Holland, vol. 1, 19–46.
- QUADRINI, V. (2011): "Financial frictions in macroeconomic fluctuations," *Economic Quarterly, Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond*, 97, 209–254.
- SMETS, F. AND R. WOUTERS (2007): "Shocks and Frictions in US Business Cycles: A Bayesian DSGE Approach," *American Economic Review*, 97, 586–606.